$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4} \\
& \quad \text { and } \mathrm{O}(15)(0.5+x, 1.5-y, 1-z), \mathrm{O}(19) \cdots \mathrm{O}(15) \\
& =2.811(4) \AA, \quad \mathrm{H}(19) \cdots \mathrm{O}(15)=1.83(4) \AA \quad \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{O}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19) \cdots \mathrm{O}(15)=164(1)^{\circ} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing of the title compound. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $35 \%$ probability level and H atoms as spheres of arbitrary size.

The NMR of (1) was correlated with that of (2); however, the optical rotation was of opposite sign and the configuration shown in Fig. 1 was inferred (Gao \& Mabry, 1986). The crystal structures of the cisclerodanes (3) (Bally, Billet, Durgeat \& Heitz, 1976) and (4) (Ferguson, Marsh, McCrindle \& Nakamura, 1975; Ferguson \& Marsh, 1976) have been reported. The angle between the mean planes of the two six-membered rings ranges between 54 and $65^{\circ}$ for compounds (1), (3) and (4). Statistically significant differences in angles and distances in the three compounds are associated with variations in fusion of $\mathrm{C}(5)$ and $\mathrm{C}(6)$. Methyl $\mathrm{C}(17)$ lies within 2.999 (7) $\AA$ of methyl C(20) and within 3.178 (7) $\AA$ of $\mathrm{C}(6)$ with a $\mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{C}(20)$ torsion angle of 44.6 (5) ${ }^{\circ}$. There is an intermolecular H bond formed between $\mathrm{OH}(19)$
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#### Abstract

C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{+} . \mathrm{Br}^{-}, M_{r}=290 \cdot 2\), triclinic, $P \overline{1}$, $a=7.710$ (5),$\quad b=8.762$ (8), $\quad c=9.882$ (2) A,$\quad \alpha=$ $104 \cdot 15(2), \quad \beta=106 \cdot 19(4), \quad \gamma=95.66(7)^{\circ}, \quad V=$ $611.6 \AA^{3}, Z=2, \quad D_{m}=1.56$ (2),$\quad D_{x}=1.575 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, Mo $K \alpha, \lambda=0.71069 \AA, \mu=32.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=296$, $T=294 \mathrm{~K}$, final $R=0.051$ for 1440 reflections. The cyclohexane moiety adopts a half-chair conformation with the hydroxyl and protonated amine groups in
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diequatorial orientations. The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ and $C(9)-C(1)-C(2)-N(1)$ torsion angles are 63 (1) and $172(1)^{\circ}$, respectively.

Introduction. The determination of the active conformations of hormones and drugs is important since such information can be used to infer molecular modes of action and in the design of new drugs. However, many active molecules are very flexible and while solid-state and isolated-state geometries can be obtained by crystallographic and theoretical methods,
© 1986 International Union of Crystallography
these do not necessarily correspond to the active conformation. Rigid, conformationally constrained analogues of the active compounds can be used to infer the preferred conformation; thus, if the rigid analogue has activity similar to that of the parent drug then the receptor-preferred conformation probably corresponds to that of the analogue (Horn \& Rodgers, 1977).

Epinephrine (adrenalin) (I) has a flexible side arm with hydroxyl and amine functions which are believed to be involved in receptor binding (Mathew \& Palenik, 1971). We have determined the structure of (II) as the bromide salt, a constrained analogue of epinephrine, which has been shown to have similar $\beta$-stimulating activity for tracheal muscle tissue (Nishikawa et al., 1975), in order to establish the active side-arm conformation and geometry.

(I)
(II)

Experimental. $D_{m}$ by flotation in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Data collected using Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 automatic diffractometer, graphite-monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation; 25 independent reflections with $10 \leq 2 \theta \leq 30^{\circ}$ used for least-squares determination of cell constants. Intensities of three standard reflections monitored, less than $1 \%$ decomposition.

Structure solved by heavy-atom method with SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976), H atoms bonded to O refined with fixed bond lengths ( $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}, 0.87 \AA$ ), those bonded to N included at calculated sites ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$, $0.97 \AA$ ), and those bonded to C fully refined with isotropic temperature factors, non- H atoms refined anisotropically. Full-matrix least-squares refinement on $F$ converged with shifts $<0.4 \sigma$ in parameters of non-H atoms, $<1.2 \sigma$ for H . Maximum excursions in a final difference map 1.2 and $-1.5 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$.

All calculations performed using SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) and figures drawn with ORTEP (Johnson, 1965). Scattering factors and anomalous-dispersion terms were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Data-collection and refinement parameters are presented in Table 1. Final positional parameters are listed in Table 2.*

[^1]Discussion. A schematic view of (II) showing bond lengths and angles is given in Fig. 1 and a view of the crystal packing is shown in Fig. 2. There are a number of quite strong intermolecular H bonds involving amine, hydroxyl and bromide moieties. There is also a close intramolecular contact between the amine H atom and the adjacent hydroxyl O atom which may correspond to a H bond but these atoms are of course constrained to be close. A least-squares plane through the six atoms of the phenyl moiety reveals no significant deviation from planarity. Of the atoms directly bonded to the phenyl ring, $O(2)$ is distorted most from the plane, lying 0.06 (2) $\AA$ below it.

The cyclohexane moiety adopts a half-chair conformation with the associated hydroxyl and amine groups both occupying equatorial positions. The $\beta$ stimulating activity of (II) suggests that its conformation is similar to the active conformation of epinephrine and related compounds. A comparison of the torsion angles, observed in the solid state, for

Table 1. Summary of data-collection and processing parameters

Crystal dimensions
Data-collection range
Scan width
Horizontal counter aperture
Scan type
Absorption correction number of sampling points max. correction
min. correction
Range of $h k l$
$R_{\text {int }}$
Total data collected
Data with $I>2 \cdot 5 \sigma(I)$
Total variables
$R$
$w$
Weighting constants $\left[w=\mathrm{g} /\left(\sigma^{2} F_{o}+k F_{0}^{2}\right)\right]$
$0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$
$3<2 \theta<45^{\circ}$
$(0.80+0.35 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$
$(2.00+0.50 \tan \theta) \mathrm{mm}$
$\omega-2 \theta$
64
1.431
1.269
$-7 \rightarrow 7,-9 \rightarrow 9,0 \rightarrow 10$
0.011
1719
1440
205
0.051
0.071
$g=1.0, k=0.0062$

Table 2. Positional $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters

|  | $B_{\text {eq }}=8 \pi^{2}\left(U_{11} U_{22} U_{33}\right)^{1 / 3}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 2208 (1) | 1566 (1) | 3301 (1) | 3.74 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 3539 (5) | 8771 (4) | 191 (4) | 3.38 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 7 (6) | 2014 (4) | -2706 (4) | 4.24 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 340 (5) | 1788 (4) | 37 (4) | $3 \cdot 57$ |
| N(1) | 3977 (6) | 8827 (5) | -2576 (5) | 3.36 |
| C(1) | 3701 (6) | 7347 (6) | -810 (5) | 2.79 |
| C(2) | 2905 (7) | 7438 (6) | -2375 (6) | 3.00 |
| C(3) | 2930 (7) | 5908 (6) | -3457 (6) | 3.41 |
| C(4) | 1587 (9) | 4583 (7) | -3333 (6) | 3.91 |
| C(5) | 1036 (6) | 3226 (6) | -1515 (5) | 3.05 |
| C(6) | 1204 (6) | 3152 (6) | -109 (5) | 3.02 |
| C(7) | 2182 (6) | 4433 (6) | 1071 (6) | 3.21 |
| C(8) | 3022 (7) | 5773 (6) | 819 (6) | 3.08 |
| C(9) | 2836 (6) | 5873 (6) | -566 (5) | 2.52 |
| C(10) | 1851 (6) | 4564 (5) | -1781 (5) | 2.88 |
| C(11) | 2977 (11) | 9442 (10) | -3812 (9) | 5.92 |

Table 3. Torsion angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ for (II) and some related phenylethylamines


References: (a) Andersen (1975); (b) Carlström (1973); (c) Carlström \& Bergin (1967); (d) Mathew \& Palenik (1971).


Fig. 1. View of the molecule showing atom labelling, bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles ${ }^{\circ}$ ).


Fig. 2. View of the unit cell of (II).
compound (II), epinephrine, norepinephrine and isoproterenol is given in Table 3. These angles show that the orientation of the side chain relative to the phenyl ring, in the unconstrained molecules, is variable as exemplified by the angles $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ and $C(8)-C(9)-C(1)-O(1)$, and in no case does it approach the orientation in the constrained molecule (II). However, the conformation of the side chain is remarkably constant and is the same as that of the constrained molecule. Thus, $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$
$\left(\tau_{2}\right)$ varies from 171.6 to $179.4^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-$ $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ from 57.8 to $65 \cdot 3^{\circ}$. The constancy of these angles may reflect a deep potential-energy minimum or may be due to an intramolecular H bond between the amine and hydroxyl groups. Quantum-mechanical calculations have predicted a potential-energy minimum at $\tau_{1} \approx 90^{\circ}$ and $\tau_{2} \approx 180^{\circ}$ (Caillet, Claverie \& Pullman, 1976). The former value is observed in the solid-state structures of epinephrine, isoproterenol sulfate and norepinephrine hydrochloride while the latter is found for all structures in Table 3. However, no potentialenergy minimum was found to exist at $\tau_{1} \approx 180^{\circ}$, observed for (II), or $\tau_{1} \approx 0^{\circ}$, observed in epinephrine hydrogen tartrate. It has been suggested that in the latter case this geometry is the result of crystal-packing effects (Caillet et al., 1976). It is possible that other geometries may be stabilized in solution, but the above results suggest that the active conformation of the phenylethylamines does not necessarily have a particular orientation of the side chain relative to the phenyl group. The conformation of the side chain is, however, probably more critical.
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[^1]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H -atom parameters, H -bond distances and details of least-squaresplanes calculations have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 43057 ( 13 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.

